Dimensions of Consumers' Advertising Beliefs In India

*Dr. Sandeep Vij **Dr. Raghbir Singh

INTRODUCTION

Over the years, there has been sizeable interest among the researchers about the affective responses to advertising. In particular, the researchers have posited the construct 'attitude-towards-the-ad' as an important mediator of brand attitudes and purchase intentions (e.g. Mitchell and Olson, 1981; Shimp, 1981; Lutz, 1985, MacKenzie *et al.*, 1986 and Andrews, 1989). Conceptual research by Lutz (1985) has helped to identify the various cognitive and affective antecedents and consequences of attitude toward advertisement (Aad). One key antecedent to attitude toward advertisements (Ad) is the attitude-toward-advertising-in-general (Ag) construct (Andrews, 1989).

Attitude-toward-advertising-in-general (Ag) has been found to influence the success and effectiveness of a particular advertising campaign. Since Aad has been found to influence consumer brand attitude (Shimp, 1981; Thorson, 1981; Lutz, 1985; MacKenzie et al., 1986; Muehling, 1987; MacKenzie and Lutz, 1989), the influence of Ag on advertising effectiveness is bound to be important. Interest in the Ag construct gained momentum as advertising scholars showed it was an important determinant of attitude towards the advertisement (Aad) (Lutz, 1985; Mehta and Purvis, 1995). Theoretically, the Ag construct is grounded in consumer beliefs toward advertising in general. Bauer and Greyser (1968) provide evidence that overall attitudes toward advertising in general are influenced by beliefs toward advertising in general. In turn, it is suggested that a relationship exists between consumers' overall attitudes toward advertising and reasons why certain ads are considered informative, enjoyable, annoying, or offensive. The present study attempts to identify the dimensionality of beliefs of Indian consumers toward advertising in general. (cited in Andrews, 1989).

PREVIOUS RESEARCH

In the past, attitude towards advertising have been studied by the researchers from various perspectives. Bauer and Greyser (1968) identified two distinct effects of advertising, viz, economic and social, in their landmark study. They found that majority of consumers have a favourable view of the economic but an unfavourable view of the social role of advertising. Greyser and Reece (1971) have studied the social impacts of advertising. Greyser (1972) provided a structure for considering social issues in advertising and explored implications of social issues in advertising for practitioners and public policy makers. Barksdale and Darden (1972) conducted an exploratory study to determine consumer reactions to advertising. They found that most product advertising is not believable, not reliable sources of information about product quality and performance, and generally do not present a true picture of the products advertised. Haller (1974) studied as to what students think of advertising and how their views differ from those expressed by businessmen. Reid and Soley (1982) conducted a survey to determine if there are differences in peoples' generalised and personalised attitudes toward the two types of advertising effects i.e. advertising's social and economic effects. The results show that there is a significant difference between people's generalised and personalised attitudes toward advertising's social and economic effects and that people are more negative on the personalised than generalised attitude level toward both types of advertising effects. Gelb and Charles (1983) examined relationships among attitude toward the advertising and advertising effectiveness. Semenick, Zhou and Moore (1986) investigated attitudes and beliefs held by Chinese managers regarding economic and social aspects of advertising. MacKenzie, Lutz

^{*}Assistant Professor; Department of Management, Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab. E-mail: profsandeepvij@gmail.com

^{***}*Professor*, Department of Commerce and Business Management, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab. E-mail: raghbirsingh@mail.com

and Belch (1986) conducted a study on attitude toward the advertisements as a mediator of advertising effectiveness. Muehling (1987) found that some beliefs were found to influence global attitudes toward advertising; several oftencited beliefs (criticisms/concerns) about advertising do not influence attitude toward advertising in general. Andrews, Durvasula and Lysonski (1991) presented a cross-cultural comparison of student perceptions toward advertising in general. 553 undergraduate students from the United States, New Zealand, Denmark, Greece, and India were studied. Implications of findings for advertising educators and practitioners are provided. O'Donohoe (1995) compared British and American literature on public attitudes to advertising in general. The review highlights the lack of research seeking to understand rather than to measure these attitudes. Mehta and Purvis (1995) found that attitude toward advertising in general influenced the effectiveness of specific advertisements. Yoon, Muehling and Cho (1996) have compared the attitudes and behavioural tendencies of Korean and American college students towards advertising. Obermiller and Spangenberg (1998) have developed a 9-item Likert-type scale to measure consumer skepticism toward advertising. Sangwan and Golovkina (1999) have conducted a survey about changing attitudes towards advertising in Ukraine in the post liberalisation era. Bush, Smith and Martin (1999) have used the theory of consumer socialisation to explore factors that might shape attitudes toward advertising for African-American and Caucasian young adults. Goldsmith, Lafferty and Newell (2000) suggest that corporate credibility plays an important role in consumers' reactions to advertisements and brands. Mehta (2000) has evaluated how consumer attitudes toward advertising in general affect response to specific advertising. Wang, Zhang, Choi, and D'Eredita (2002) have measured consumers' attitudes toward advertisements for different purposes and different media. They suggest that interactivity is also a factor that contributes to consumers' perceptions. Implications for Internet-based advertising and e-commerce have been discussed. Initiative Media and BBC World (2002) conducted a study on consumers' attitudes towards advertising and its relevance to media. Zhou, Zhang and Vertinsky (2002) have conducted a survey of 825 consumers in five major cities in China about general beliefs about the institution of advertising and attitude toward advertising. They have also compared Chinese and US attitudes toward advertising. Beard (2003) explored the attitudes of college students toward advertising's ethical, economic, and social consequences. Wolin and Korgaonkar (2003) studied male and female beliefs and attitudes toward web advertising. Mukherji (2005) examined the relationship of social orientation of mothers with the attitudes toward advertising in general and attitude towards children's advertising. He found that middle class mothers have positive attitude toward advertising. Recently, Dutta Bergman (2006) has explored the individual demographic and psychographic antecedents of attitude toward advertising. Since the landmark study by Bauer and Greyser (1968), which identified distinct social and economic effects of advertising, many researchers across the world have attempted to study and compare the dimensionality of advertising beliefs. Andrews (1989) investigated several key research issues associated with beliefs toward advertising in general. The study indicates separate economic and social belief dimensions. Pollay and Mittal (1993) conducted a study to identify the factors underlying consumers' attitude towards advertising in general and presented a model depicting the primary structure of belief and attitudes about advertising. Yavas (1997) investigated the dimensionality of advertising attitudes in a non-US setting (Saudi Arabia) and confirmed that dimensions indeed decompose into social and economic effects. Ramprasad and Thurwanger (1998) compared the factor structure of beliefs towards advertising in South Asia and compared it with the United States studies and found similar belief structure. Five south Asian countries studied were Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Yang (2000) investigated college students' attitudes towards advertising in Taiwan, applying two advertising constructs-beliefs about advertising and attitudes towards advertising in general (Ag). He also compared the belief factor structure with USA and South Asia. Ashill and Yavas (2005) have examined the similarities and differences in the dimensionality of advertising attitudes between Turkish and New Zealand consumers. The study finds that advertising attitudes consist of social and economic dimensions in both the countries.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is aimed at identification of the belief factors (dimensions) underlying the attitude of Indian consumers toward advertising in general.

***THE INSTRUMENT**

For the purpose of this study, an inventory of 28 belief statements developed by **Pollay and Mittal (1993)** has been used. These statements are regarding attitude-toward-advertising-in-general and have been presented in the form of a comprehensive model depicting the primary structure of belief and attitudes about advertising.

THE SAMPLE

The population for the study comprised the general public from 7 North Indian States (Punjab, Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Haryana and Uttranchal) plus Union Territory of Chandigarh and National Capital Territory of Delhi. A sample of 900 respondents comprising 100 from every State/U.T was selected on the basis of convenience sampling¹. The data has been collected personally with the help of a well structured and

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

	Number of Respondents	Percentage
Age		
Up to 25 years	368	42.2
25-50 years	418	47.9
Above 50 years	87	10.0
Sex		
Male	458	52.5
Female	415	47.5
Occupation		
Business	109	12.5
Service	354	40.5
Student	267	30.6
Housewife	100	11.5
Retired	26	3.0
Any Other	17	1.9
Education		
Post Graduation and above	308	35.3
Graduation	238	37.6
Matric or Undergraduate	192	22.0
Below Matric	10	1.1
Any Other	35	4.0
Income		
Below ₹ 10,000 p.m.	459	52.6
₹ 10,000-20,000 p.m.	274	31.4
₹ 20,000-30,000 p.m.	86	9.9
Above ₹ 30,000 p.m.	54	6.2
Family Type		
Joint Family	349	40.0
Nuclear Family	524	60.0
Religion		
Hindu	673	77.1
Sikh	118	13.5
Muslim	52	6.0
Christian	13	1.5
Others	17	1.9

¹Kerlinger and Lee (2000) are of the view that if the theory behind statistical testing "is forbidden to us with non-random samples, much use of statistics and the inferences that accompany statistics would have to be abandoned. The reality is that the statistics seem to work very well even with non-random samples provided the researcher knows the limitations of such samples (p. 286)."

non-disguised questionnaire. After scrutiny of the filled questionnaires, 873 were found to be fit for analysis; others were incomplete or lacked seriousness in response and hence weeded out. People from all strata of society were included in the survey to make the sample more representative. Table 1 gives the description of demographic characteristics of the respondents.

***DATA ANALYSIS**

Statistical Package for Social Sciences, SPSS for Windows (10.0.1) and Microsoft Excel have been used to apply various statistical tests for data analysis purpose.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Multivariate data analysis has been done for achieving the objective of the study. The responses of consumers have been studied collectively and separately for both genders. Following paragraphs give brief description of the procedures adopted for data analysis.

DIMENSIONS OF CONSUMERS' BELIEFS TOWARD ADVERTISING (OVERALL SAMPLE)

Table 2: Belief Statements Regarding Attitude Toward Advertising In General

LABEL	BELIEF STATEMENT
B1	Advertising is essential.
B2	Advertising is a valuable source of product information.
В3	In general, advertising is misleading.
B4	Quite often, advertising is amusing and entertaining.
B5	Advertising persuades people to buy things they should not buy.
B6	Most advertising insults the intelligence of the average consumer.
В7	From advertising, I learn about fashions and about what to buy to impress others.
B8	Advertising helps to raise our standard of living.
В9	Advertising results in better products for the public.
B10	Advertising tells me what people with life styles similar to mine are buying and using.
B11	Advertising is making us a materialistic society, overly interested in buying and owning things.
B12	Advertising tells me which brands have the features I am looking for.
B13	Advertising promotes undesirable values in our society.
B14	Sometimes, I take pleasure in thinking about what I saw or heard or read in advertisements.
B15	Advertising makes people buy unaffordable products just to show off.
B16	In general, advertising results in lower prices.
B17	Advertising helps me know which products will or will not reflect the sort of person I am.
B18	In general, advertisements present a true picture of the product advertised.
B19	Sometimes, advertisements are even more enjoyable than other media contents.
B20	In general, advertising helps our nation's economy.
B21	Mostly, advertising is wasteful of our economic resources.
B22	Advertising makes people live in a world of fantasy.
B23	There is too much sex in advertising today.
B24	Because of advertising, people buy a lot of things they do not really need.
B25	In general, advertising promotes competition, which benefits the consumer.
B26	Some products/services promoted in advertising are bad for our society.
B27	Advertising helps me keep up to date about products/services available in the market place.
B28	Most advertising distorts the values of our youth.

In order to find out the dimensionality of beliefs toward advertising, a factor analytic technique has been used. Factor analysis has been applied to the responses of all 873 respondents regarding 28 belief statements shown in Table 2, measured on a five point Likert Scale. In order to test the suitability of the data for factor analysis, the correlation matrix was computed and examined. The results indicated that there were enough correlations to justify the application of factor analysis. Anti image correlations showed that partial correlations were low, which indicate that true factors existed in the data. Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of Sampling Adequacy (MSA) for individual variables was found to be sufficiently high for all variables. Overall MSA was found to be 0.823 which indicated that the sample was good enough for sampling. Bartlett's Test of Sphericity showed statistically significant number of correlations among the variables (Approx. chi-square=4363.663, df=378, significance=.000). Hence, all of these standards revealed that data was fit for factor analysis. Principal Component Analysis was employed for extracting factors. The number of factors to be extracted was finalized on the basis of 'Latent Root Criterion' i.e. factors having eigenvalues greater than 1 have been selected. Orthogonal rotation with Varimax was run. Rotation converged in 10 iterations. In orthogonal rotation, each factor is independent of, or orthogonal from, all other factors. The correlation between the factors is determined to be zero. All factor loadings greater than 0.30 (ignoring signs) have been considered for further analysis. Guidelines for identifying significant factor loadings based on sample size suggest considering factor loading of .30 for sample size of 350 or more (Hair et al, 1995, p.385). Six factors were extracted which accounted for 45.88 per cent of the total

Table 3: Principal Component Analysis with Varimax Rotation (Overall Sample, N=873)

Statement Label	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6	Communality
B1	089	.443	.291	.135	098	.216	.36
B2	005	.592	.313	.096	076	.037	.47
B3	.203	288	071	.453	127	.072	.36
B4	008	.192	.142	.211	077	.590	.46
B5	.041	.079	.075	.710	066	.132	.54
B6	.188	087	061	.671	.034	004	.50
В7	.062	.183	.588	024	060	.174	.42
B8	030	.036	.771	.096	.173	029	.64
B9	051	.251	.636	054	.261	118	.56
B10	.050	.081	.594	085	.124	.235	.44
B11	.286	.287	.059	.334	.143	.035	.30
B12	.016	.519	.270	094	026	.079	.36
B13	.443	.037	093	.440	.246	226	.51
B14	.031	.113	.122	058	.364	.587	.51
B15	.478	.104	132	.320	.105	051	.37
B16	007	180	.060	.004	.700	.126	.54
B17	.120	.087	.417	057	.445	.053	.40
B18	214	.085	.265	.066	.597	009	.48
B19	.093	.211	.036	.011	.068	.686	.53
B20	.032	.520	.042	079	.440	.062	.48
B21	.333	502	.207	.273	.012	237	.54
B22	.599	077	.164	.105	065	.098	.42
B23	.615	003	.025	.030	097	.118	.40
B24	.604	.153	018	.278	080	.071	.48
B25	.139	.597	022	059	.094	.163	.42
B26	.660	015	024	039	025	.058	.44
B27	.074	.698	.103	.062	043	.101	.52
B28	.622	.024	.011	.103	.057	156	.43
Eigen Value	2.73	2.65	2.37	1.87	1.66	1.57	12.79
Percent of Variance	9.76	9.46	8.45	6.67	5.94	5.60	
Cumulative Variance	9.76	19.22	27.67	34.34	40.28	45.88	

variance. The percentage of total variance is used as an index to determine how well the total factor solution accounts for what the variables together represent. The results of Principle Component Analysis with Varimax rotation for overall sample are shown in Table 3. The six extracted factors have been given appropriate names on the basis of variables represented in each case. The names of factors, the statement labels and factor loadings have been summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Dimensions of Consumers' Beliefs toward Advertising

Factor Number	Name of Dimension	Label	Statement (Factor Loading)
	(% of Variance)		
Factor 1	Harmful for Society (9.76%)	B26	1. Some products/services promoted in advertising are bad for our society (.660)
		B28	2. Most advertising distorts the values of our youth. (.622)
		B23	3. There is too much sex in advertising today. (.615)
		B24	4. Because of advertising, people buy a lot of things they do not really need. (.604)
		B22	5. Advertising makes people live in a world of fantasy. (.599)
		B15	6. Advertising makes people buy unaffordable products just to show off. (.478)
		B13	7. Advertising promotes undesirable values in our society. (.443)
Factor 2	Good for Economy (9.46%)	B27	1. Advertising helps me keep up to date about products/services available in the market place. (.698)
		B25	2. In general, advertising promotes competition, which benefits the consumer. (.597)
		B2	3. Advertising is valuable source of product information. (.592)
		B20	4. In general, advertising helps our nation's economy. (.520)
		B12	5. Advertising tells me which brands have the features I am looking for. (.519)
		B21	6. Mostly, advertising is wasteful of our economic resources. (502)
		B1	7. Advertising is essential. (.443)
Factor 3	Better Quality of Life (8.45%)	В8	1. Advertising helps raise our standard of living. (.771)
		В9	2. Advertising results in better products for the public. (.636)
		B10	3. Advertising tells me what people with life styles similar to mine are buying and using. (.594)
		В7	4. From advertising, I learn about fashions and about what to buy to impress others (.588)
		B17	5. Advertising helps me know which products will or will not reflect the sort of person I am. (.417)
Factor 4	Manipulative (6.67%)	B5	1. Advertising persuades people to buy things they should not buy. (.710)
		В6	2. Most advertising insults the intelligence of the average consumer. (.671)
		В3	3. In general, advertising is misleading. (.453)
		B11	4. Advertising is making us a materialistic society, overly interested in buying and owning things. (.334)
Factor 5	Lower Prices (5.94%)	B16	1. In general, advertising results in lower prices. (.700)
		B18	2. In general, advertisements present a true picture of the product advertised (.597)
Factor 6	Hedonic Pleasure (5.60%)	B19	Sometimes, advertisements are even more enjoyable than other media contents (.686)
		B4	2. Quite often, advertising is amusing and entertaining. (.590)
		B14	3. Sometimes, I take pleasure in thinking about what I saw or heard or read in advertisements. (.587)

DIMENSIONS OF MALE CONSUMERS' BELIEFS TOWARD ADVERTISING

For the purpose of identifying the dimensions of beliefs of male consumers toward advertising in general, the

responses of 458 male respondents to 28 belief statements have been subjected to factor analysis. A study of correlation matrix, anti-image correlations and MSA for individual variables showed that data was amenable to factor analysis. Overall Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of Sampling Adequacy was found to be 0.803 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was also significant (Approx. chi-square= 2701.276, df=378, Significance=.000) indicating the suitability of data for factor analysis. Thus, all of these examinations revealed that data was fit for factor analysis.

Table 5: Principal Component Analysis with Varimax Rotation (Male Respondents, N=458)

Statement Label	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6	Factor 7	Communality
B1	.209	.060	057	.718	.038	.186	.113	.62
B2	.193	.031	.020	.720	.258	005	060	.63
В3	185	.219	.388	019	370	.055	.121	.39
B4	.118	069	.225	.225	.036	.583	167	.49
B5	.012	023	.647	.281	212	.183	.022	.58
В6	.004	.227	.593	145	087	.078	.081	.44
B7	.501	.105	030	.192	029	.325	006	.41
B8	.809	.043	.026	.128	021	.026	.086	.68
B9	.720	079	013	.204	.166	118	.069	.61
B10	.597	.025	055	.066	.033	.288	.068	.45
B11	.108	.168	.532	.117	.122	.155	053	.38
B12	.349	156	.058	.302	.320	.095	326	.46
B13	.006	.247	.679	130	.075	179	.007	.58
B14	.172	.051	064	029	.228	.593	.287	.53
B15	094	.432	.413	110	.187	145	129	.45
B16	.126	009	013	044	.055	.011	.784	.64
B17	.527	044	.257	215	.373	.046	.187	.57
B18	.329	299	.131	.207	.100	011	.486	.50
B19	.039	.055	.069	.071	.132	.737	042	.58
B20	.152	033	011	.045	.677	.104	.228	.55
B21	.192	.372	.182	236	471	244	.207	.59
B22	.120	.643	.091	093	062	.124	118	.48
B23	.054	.595	.085	.088	024	.103	092	.39
B24	.020	.562	.387	039	.109	.043	234	.54
B25	035	.118	.038	.364	.542	.183	.035	.48
B26	148	.628	.112	.091	044	.002	.123	.46
B27	.201	.054	.033	.426	.480	.198	162	.52
B28	017	.602	.203	.094	032	180	.180	.48
Eigen Value	2.63	2.52	2.25	1.92	1.89	1.81	1.43	14.44
Percent of Variance	9.38	9.01	8.02	6.86	6.75	6.45	5.09	
Cumulative Variance	9.38	18.39	26.41	33.28	40.03	46.48	51.57	

Principal Component Analysis was employed for extracting factors. The number of factors to be extracted was finalized on the basis of 'Latent Root Criterion' i.e. factors having eigenvalues greater than 1 have been selected. Orthogonal rotation with Varimax was run. Rotation converged in 23 iterations. In orthogonal rotation, each factor is independent of, or orthogonal from, all other factors. Seven factors were extracted which together accounted for 51.57 per cent of the variance. The results of Principle Component Analysis with Varimax rotation for male respondents are shown in Table 6.

DIMENSIONS OF FEMALE CONSUMERS' BELIEFS TOWARD ADVERTISING

The responses of 415 female respondents were factor analysed to compare them with the results for male respondents.

*Indian Journal of Marketing • March, 2011 27

Table 6: Dimensions of Male Consumers' Beliefs toward Advertising

Factor Number	r Name of Dimension Lal		Statement (Factor Loading)
	(% of Variance)		
Factor 1	Better Quality of Life (9.38%)	B8	1. Advertising helps raise our standard of living. (.809)
		В9	2. Advertising results in better products for the public. (.720)
		B10	3. Advertising tells me what people with life styles similar to mine are buying and using. (.597)
		B17	4. Advertising helps me know which products will or will not reflect the sort of person I am. (.527)
		В7	5. From advertising, I learn about fashions and about what to buy to impress others. (.501)
		B12	6. Advertising tells me which brand has the features I am looking for. (.349)
Factor 2	Harmful for Society (9.01%)	B22	1. Advertising makes people live in a world of fantasy. (.643)
		B26	2. Some products/services promoted in advertising are bad for our society. (.628)
		B28	3. Most advertising distorts the values of our youth. (.602)
		B23	4. There is too much sex in advertising today. (.595)
		B24	5. Because of advertising, people buy a lot of things they do not really need. (.562)
		B15	6. Advertising makes people buy unaffordable products just to show off. (.432)
Factor 3	Manipulative (8.02%)	B13	1. Advertising promotes undesirable values in our society. (.679)
		B5	2. Advertising persuades people to buy things they should not buy. (.647)
		В6	3. Most advertising insults the intelligence of the average consumer. (.593)
		B11	4. Advertising is making us a materialistic society, overly interested in buying and owning things. (.532)
		В3	5. In general, advertising is misleading. (.388)
Factor 4	Necessity (6.86%)	B2	1. Advertising is valuable source of product information. (.720)
		B1	2. Advertising is essential. (.718)
Factor 5	Good for Economy (6.75%)	B20	1. In general, advertising helps our nation's economy. (.677)
		B25	2. In general, advertising promotes competition, which benefits the consumer. (.542)
		B27	3. Advertising helps me keep up to date about products/services available in the market place. (.480)
		B21	4. Mostly, advertising is wasteful of our economic resources. (471)
Factor 6	Hedonic Pleasure (6.45%)	B19	 Sometimes, advertisements are even more enjoyable than other media contents. (.737)
		B14	2. Sometimes, I take pleasure in thinking about what I saw or heard or read in advertisements. (.593)
		B4	3. Quite often, advertising is amusing and entertaining. (.583)
Factor 7	Lower Prices (5.09%)	B16	1. In general, advertising results in lower prices. (.784)
		B18	2. In general, advertisements present a true picture of the product advertised. (.486)

A study of correlation matrix, anti-image correlations and MSA for individual variables showed the suitability of data for factor analysis. Overall Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of Sampling Adequacy was found to be 0.768 and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was also significant (Approx. chi-square= 2037.575, df=378, Significance=.000) indicating the suitability of data for factor analysis. Principal Component Analysis with Varimax rotation was employed for factor extraction. Rotation converged in 12 iterations. The cut-off point for significant factor loadings was taken to be 0.30. As in case of male respondents, factors with eigenvalue of 1 or more were extracted, as per latent root criterion. Eight factors have been extracted as shown in Table 7. The total variance explained by the factor solution is 52.52 per cent. All the extracted 8 factors have been given appropriate names on the basis of variables represented in each case. The

Table 7: Principal Component Analysis with Varimax Rotation (Female Respondents, N=415)

Statement Label	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6	Factor 7	Factor 8	Communality
B1	040	.029	.122	021	035	.752	.118	.094	.61
B2	.056	.304	.187	.003	079	.554	.073	.189	.49
В3	.138	148	480	.349	118	.016	.270	.121	.50
B4	.033	.051	.006	.132	.002	.279	.640	064	.51
B5	.146	.080	.027	.731	053	.120	.026	135	.60
B6	.079	106	094	.726	033	095	.012	.227	.61
B7	.044	.682	.299	.076	170	038	.022	101	.60
B8	066	.705	088	.173	.185	.195	112	044	.63
B9	045	.621	.136	036	.224	.166	038	.077	.49
B10	.003	.609	029	119	010	.027	.328	.168	.52
B11	.195	.027	.097	.073	.117	.197	.067	.598	.47
B12	.107	.235	.271	260	147	.215	.288	.301	.45
B13	.465	061	126	.192	.181	020	108	.454	.52
B14	020	.162	.214	042	.303	034	.596	.116	.54
B15	.429	.021	.161	.377	.158	118	.044	.128	.41
B16	.078	.071	.027	.011	.743	160	.134	044	.61
B17	.172	.556	.030	216	.225	079	.222	038	.50
B18	160	.262	069	099	.620	.136	.011	.223	.56
B19	.172	033	.353	.038	.304	.433	.285	316	.62
B20	.051	.190	.516	.044	.311	.228	035	.197	.50
B21	.332	.092	579	.202	010	026	125	.090	.52
B22	.599	.161	101	.148	.084	.204	138	060	.50
B23	.705	075	009	.031	033	006	.074	172	.54
B24	.548	014	.090	.276	065	.079	.167	.147	.44
B25	.144	.095	.590	.053	040	.189	.090	014	.43
B26	.603	.039	.025	060	099	118	.179	.136	.44
B27	.060	.093	.609	.169	245	.042	.187	.377	.65
B28	.631	011	.001	019	.000	.033	141	.230	.47
Eigen Value	2.66	2.42	2.05	1.74	1.58	1.53	1.39	1.33	14.63
Percent of Variance	9.49	8.65	7.31	6.22	5.66	5.47	4.98	4.75	
Cumulative Variance	9.49	18.13	25.45	31.66	37.32	42.79	47.77	52.52	

Table 8: Dimensions of Female Consumers' Beliefs toward Advertising

Factor Number	Name of Dimension	Label	Statement (Factor Loading)
	(% of Variance)		
Factor 1	Harmful for Society (9.49%)	B23	1. There is too much sex in advertising today. (.705)
		B28	2. Most advertising distorts the values of our youth. (.631)
		B26	3. Some products/services promoted in advertising are bad for our society. (.603)
		B22	4. Advertising makes people live in a world of fantasy. (.599)
		B24	5. Because of advertising, people buy a lot of things they do not really need. (.548)
		B13	6. Advertising promotes undesirable values in our society. (.465)
		B15	7. Advertising makes people buy unaffordable products just to show off. (.429)
Factor 2	Better Quality of Life (8.65%)	В8	1. Advertising helps raise our standard of living. (.705)
		В7	2. From advertising, I learn about fashions and about what to buy to impress others. (.682)

		В9	3. Advertising results in better products for the public . (.621)
		B10	4. Advertising tells me what people with life styles similar to mine are buying and using. (.609)
		B17	5. Advertising helps me know which products will or will not reflect the sort of person lam. (.556)
Factor 3	Good for Economy (7.31%)	B27	1. Advertising helps me keep up to date about products/services available in the market place. (.609)
		B25	2. In general, advertising promotes competition, which benefits the consumer. (.590)
		B21	3. Mostly, advertising is wasteful of our economic resources. (579)
		B20	4. In general, advertising helps our nation's economy. (.516)
		В3	5. In general, advertising is misleading. (480)
Factor 4	Manipulative (6.22%)	B5	1. Advertising persuades people to buy things they should not buy. (.731)
		В6	2. Most advertising insults the intelligence of the average consumer. (.726)
Factor 5	Lower Prices (5.66%)	B16	1. In general, advertising results in lower prices. (.743)
		B18	2. In general, advertisements present a true picture of the product advertised. (.620)
Factor 6	Necessity (5.47%)	B1	1. Advertising is essential. (.752)
		B2	2. Advertising is valuable source of product information. (.554)
		B19	Sometimes advertisements are even more enjoyable than other media contents. (.433)
Factor 7	Hedonic Pleasure (4.98%)	В4	1. Quite often, advertising is amusing and entertaining. (.640)
		B14	2. Sometimes, I take pleasure in thinking about what I saw or heard or read in advertisements. (.596)
Factor 8	Materialism (4.75%)	B11	Advertising is making us a materialistic society, overly interested in buying and owning things. (.598)
		B12	2. Advertising tells me which brand has the features I am looking for. (.301)

names of factors, the statement labels and factor loadings have been summarised in Table 8. The above discussed advertising belief dimensions of Indian consumers have been summarised in the Table 9, giving the bird's eye view of the results of Factor Analysis. It is evident that sub samples of male and female consumers have similar factor structure as that of overall sample. The factor 'Necessity' is an independent dimension for the sub samples but it is part of the dimension 'Good for Economy' in case of overall sample. Similarly, the dimension 'Materialism' has emerged as a separate factor in case of female samples, but is part of 'Manipulation' in case of male sample and overall sample. Based upon these observations, it can be concluded that six dimensions (Figure 1) common to all the three samples incorporate all dimensions of advertising beliefs of the population under study. On the bases of underlying statements representing these dimensions, the dimensions have been briefly defined as follows:

Table 9: A Bird's Eye View of Results of Factor Analysis

Sample \ Dimension	Overall Sample (N=873)	Male Sample (N=458)	Female Sample (N=415)
FACTOR 1	Harmful for Society (9.76%)	Better Quality of Life (9.38%)	Harmful for Society (9.49%)
FACTOR 2	Good for Economy (9.46%)	Harmful for Society (9.01%)	Better Quality of Life (8.65%)
FACTOR 3	Better Quality of Life (8.45%)	Manipulative (8.02%)	Good for Economy (7.31%)
FACTOR 4	Manipulative (6.67%)	Necessity (6.86%)	Manipulative (6.22%)
FACTOR 5	Lower Prices (5.94%)	Good for Economy (6.75%)	Lower Prices (5.66%)
FACTOR 6	Hedonic Pleasure (5.60%)	Hedonic Pleasure (6.45%)	Necessity (5.47%)
FACTOR 7	-	Lower Prices (5.09%)	Hedonic Pleasure (4.98%)
FACTOR 8	-	-	Materialism (4.75%)
TOTAL VARIANCE EXPLAINED	45.88%	51.57%	52.52%

Figure 1: Dimensions of Consumers' Advertising Beliefs in India



Table 10: Dimensions of Consumers' Advertising Beliefs in India

S.No.	Advertising Belief Dimension	Description
I.	Harmful for Society	This dimension represents the Indian consumers' belief about the harmful/negative implications of advertising for the social fabric.
II.	Good for Economy	The belief of the Indian consumers that advertising helps our nation's economy. They view advertising as a necessity.
III.	Better Quality of Life	The belief of Indian consumer that advertising helps in raising their standard of living and improving the quality of life.
IV.	Manipulative	The belief of the Indian consumer that advertising misleads and manipulates the consumers.
V.	Lower Prices	The belief of Indian consumers that advertising results in lower prices of goods and services by making it feasible to produce in large quantities at lowest possible costs.
VI.	Hedonic Pleasure	The belief of the Indian consumers that advertisements provide enjoyment, amusement and entertainment.

DIMENSIONS OF CONSUMER' ADVERTISING BELIEFS IN INDIA

- 1. Harmful for Society: This dimension represents the Indian consumers' belief about the harmful/negative implications of advertising for the social fabric. They feel that some products/services promoted in advertising are bad for our society as they distort the values of our youth and make people live in a world of fantasy. They have objections regarding harmful effects of advertising for youth and society in general like- distortion of values, use of sex appeals in advertising and conspicuous buying etc.
- **2. Good For Economy:** The belief of the Indian consumers that advertising helps our nation's economy. They view advertising as a necessity. They do not find advertising as a waste of economic resources. They find advertising 'good for economy' because it keeps the consumers up to date about product/services and acts as a valuable source of product information. They feel that advertising promotes competition, which benefits consumers.
- **3. Better Quality of Life:** The belief of Indian consumer that advertising helps in raising their standard of living and improving the quality of life. They feel that advertising results in better products for the public. They feel that advertising improves their social role/image by enabling them make proper choices regarding lifestyles and fashions. Advertising guides them in selecting the products which suit their personality and look impressive to others also.
- **4. Manipulative:** The belief of the Indian consumer that advertising misleads and manipulates the consumers. They feel that advertising is making us a materialistic society, overly interested in buying and owning things and sometimes persuading people to buy unnecessary things. Advertising insults the intelligence of consumers by playing on their emotions and making them buy the things they should not buy.
- **5. Lower Prices:** The belief of Indian consumers that advertising results in lower prices of goods and services by making it feasible to produce in large quantities at lowest possible costs. Their lower prices argument is also supported by the belief that advertisements presents a true picture of the product advertised.
- **6. Hedonic Pleasure:** The belief of the Indian consumers that advertisements provide enjoyment, amusement and

entertainment. They feel that sometimes advertisements give more hedonic pleasure than other media contents. They also derive pleasure in thinking about what they saw or heard or read in advertisements. Thus, six dimensions of consumers' advertising beliefs in India have been identified and explained. These belief dimensions are supposed to determine the attitude of the consumers toward advertising in general.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

The identification of advertising belief dimensions of Indian consumers has implications for advertisers and marketers. The media planners and marketers can identify the relative strength of these beliefs in determining the attitudes toward advertising. Attitude of consumers toward advertising is one of the most important determinants of consumers' attitude toward a brand, which in turn affects the purchase decision of the consumers. Marketers should emphasize the informative power of advertisements to boost the positive image of advertising in general. Advertisements should be designed so as to give maximum product information and hedonic pleasure to the consumers. They should understand that consumers are also looking for enjoyment, amusement and entertainment in addition to product information. It would be ethical on the part of media planners if they keep in mind the reservations of Indian consumers regarding harmful effects of advertising for the youth and general public at large. It is the duty of the marketers to alleviate the fears in the minds of the consumers about the harmful effects of advertising for the society. The belief of the consumers that marketers mislead and manipulate them by exploiting their emotions needs attention. The marketers and the advertisers should adopt best customer relationship management practices to identify the genuine needs of their customers so that advertising is used as a means of communication to the consumers rather than as a mean of exploiting the emotions of consumers.

Advertising and media research in India is an emerging area for research. There is need for further studies on beliefs and attitudes toward advertising in India. The Future studies can be wider in scope in terms of sample size. Media wise beliefs and attitudes should also be explored. Internet and FM radio as emerging media need special attention. Advertising beliefs can also be used as criteria for segmenting the consumers in distinct groups differing in terms of their liking or disliking for advertising in general. The small contribution of this study in terms of identification of dimensionality of advertising beliefs of Indian consumers will hopefully act as a benchmark for the future research studies in India.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Andrews, J. Craig (1989), "The dimensionality of beliefs toward advertising in general" Journal of Advertising, 18(1), pp. 26-35.
- 2. Andrews, J. Craig, Durvasula, S. and Lysonski, S. (1991), "Understanding cross-cultural student perceptions of advertising in general: implications for advertising educators and practitioners" Journal of Advertising, 20(June), pp.15-28.
- 3. Ashill, Nicholas J. and Yavas, Ugur (2005), "Dimensions of advertising attitudes: Congruence between Turkish and New Zealand consumers", Marketing Intelligence and Planning, 23 (4), pp.340-349.
- 4. Barksdale, Hiram C. and Darden, William R. (1972), "Consumer Attitudes toward Marketing and Consumerism", Journal of Marketing, 36(October), pp. 28-35.
- 5. Bauer, Raymond A. and Greyser, Stephen A. (1968), Advertising in America: The Consumer View, Harvard University Press, Boston.
- 6. Beard, F. K. (2003), "College Student Attitudes Toward Advertising's Ethical, Economic, and Social Consequences", Journal of Business Ethics, 48(3), December, pp. 217-228.
- 7. Bush, Alan J., Smith, R. and Craig M. (1999), "The Influence of Consumer Socialization Variables on Attitude toward Advertising: A Comparison of African-American and Caucasians", Journal of Advertising, 28(3), Fall, pp. 13-24.
- 8. Dutta-Bergman, Mohan J. (2006), "The Demographic and Psychographic Antecedents of Attitude toward Advertising", Journal of Advertising Research, 46 (1), March, pp. 102-112.
- 9. Gelb, Betsy D. and Charles, M. Pickett (1983), "Attitude-toward-the-Ad: Links to Humor and to Advertising Effectiveness", Journal of Advertising, 12, pp. 34-
- 10. Goldsmith, Ronald E., Lafferty, B. A. and Newell, Stephen J. (2000), "The Impact of Corporate Credibility and Celebrity Credibility on Consumer Reaction to Advertisements and Brands", Journal of Advertising, 29(3), pp. 43-54.
- 11. Greyser, Stephen A. (1972), "Advertising: Attacks and Counters", Harvard Business Review, March-April, p.22.
- 12. Greyser, Stephen A. and Reece, Bonnie B. (1971), "Businessmen Look Hard at Advertising", Harvard Business Review, May-June, p. 18.
- 13. Haller, Thomas F. (1974), "What Students Think of Advertising", Journal of Advertising Research, 14 (1), February, pp. 33-38.
- 14. Initiative Media-BBC World AdWatch (2002), "Consumers' Attitudes towards Advertising and its Relevance to Media" Available at: http://www.indiantelevision.com/mam/headlines/y2k2/may/may25.htm (accessed on 20 December, 2006)
- 15. Lutz, Richard J. (1985), "Affective and Cognitive Antecedents of Attitude toward the Ad: A Conceptual Framework," in Psychological Processes and Advertising Effects, Linda F. Alwitt and Andrew A. Mitchell, eds., Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum, pp. 45-63.

(Contd. On Page 43)

- 24) S.W Dunn,... A.M Barbar, (1970), "Advertising Its role in modern marketing, 4th edition, the drydenpress.
- 25) Treise, Debbie, Weigold, Michael F, Conna, Jenneane, and Garrison, Heather (1994), "Ethics in Advertising: Ideological Correlates of Consumer Perceptions," Journal of Advertising, Volume XXIII, No 3, September, pp 59-69.
- 26) The advertising standards council of India, "complaints that were upheld by the consumer complaints council (CCC)" April 2007 to June 2007, page no. www.ascionline.org.
- 27) The Advertising Standard Council of India, "The Code for Self-Regulation in Advertising," www.ascionline.org, pp. 1-6.
- 28) Velasquez, Manuel G., "Business Ethics: Concepts and Cases," 6th edition, Pearson Prentice-Hall, Delhi, India, pp 295-296.
- 29) Wells, William, Brunett, John and Moriarty, Sandra, (1998) "Advertising Principles and Practices" 4th edition, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- 30) Wells, William, Burnett, John, and Sandra, Moriarty, (2004) "Advertising: Principles and Practices,", Pearson Education, Delhi.
- 31) William, Jerome (1993), "A Content Analysis of Alcohol and Tobacco Usage in Movies: Social Marketing Implications for Black/ African American Consumers," Conference of the American Academy of Advertising (Montreal), April.

(Contd. From Page 32)

- 16. MacKenzie, S. B. and Lutz, R. B. (1989), "An empirical examination of the structural antecedents of attitude toward the ad in an advertising pretesting context", Journal of Marketing, 53(April), pp. 48-65.
- 17. MacKenzie, Scott B., Lutz, Richard J. and Belch, George E. (1986), "The Role of Attitude Toward the Ad as a Mediator of Advertising Effectiveness: A Test of Competing Explanations", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 23(May), pp. 130-143.
- 18. Mehta, Abhilasha (2000), "Advertising Attitudes and Advertising Effectiveness" Journal of Advertising Research, 40(3), pp. 67-72.
- 19. Mehta, Abhilasha and Purvis, Scott C. (1995), "When Attitude Towards Advertising in General Influence Advertising Scuccess", Paper presented at the 1995 Conference of the American Academy of Advertising, Norfolk, VA.
- 20. Mitchell, Andrew A. and Olson, Jerry C. (1981), "Are Product Attribute Beliefs the Only Mediator of Advertising Effects on Brand Attitude?" *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(August), pp. 318-332.
- 21. Muehling, Darrel D. (1987), "An Investigation of Factors Underlying Attitude-Toward-Advertising-in-General," Journal of Advertising, 16(1), pp. 32-40.
- 22. Mukherji, Jyotsna (2005), "Maternal Communication Patterns, Advertising Attitudes and Mediation Behaviours in Urban India", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 11(4), pp. 247-262.
- 23. O'Donohoe, Stephanie (1995), "Attitudes to Advertising: A Review of British and American Research", *International Journal of Advertising*, 14(3), pp. 245-261.
- 24. Obermiller, Carl, Spangenberg, Eric R. (1998), "Development of a Scale to Measure Consumer Skepticism toward Advertising", *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 7(2), pp. 159-186.
- 25. Pollay, Richard W. and Mittal, Banwari (1993), "Here's the Beef: Factors, Determinants and Segments in Consumer Criticism of Advertising", *Journal of Marketing*, 57(July), pp. 99-114.
- 26. Ramaprasad, Jyotika and Thurwanger, Micheal L. (1998), "South Asian Student Attitudes Toward and Beliefs About Advertising: Measuring Across Cultures", Paper presented to International Communication Division, *AEJMC National Convention in Baltimore*, M.D., August 1998.
- 27. Reid, Leonard N. and Soley, Lawrence C. (1982), "Generalized and Personalized Attitudes toward Advertising's Social and Economic Effects", *Journal of Advertising*, 11(3), pp. 3-7.
- 28. Sangwan, Sunanda and Golovkina, Natalia (1999), "Advertising in Emerging Markets: Consumer Attitudes in Ukraine", Working Paper Series, Department of International Economics and Management, Copenhagen Business School, No 11.
- 29. Semenick, Richard J., Zhou, Nan and Moore, William L. (1986), "Chinese Managers' Attitude toward Advertising in China", *Journal of Advertising*, 15(4), pp. 56-62.
- 30. Shimp, Terence A. (1981), "Attitude toward the Ad as a Mediator of Consumer Brand Choice", Journal of Advertising, 10(2), pp. 9-15.
- 31. Thorson, E. (1981), "Likeability: 10 years of academic research", Transcript Proceedings: Eighth Annual Advertising Research Foundation Copy Research Workshop. New York: Advertising Research Foundation.
- 32. Wang, Chingning, Zhang, P., Choi R., and D'Eredita, Michael (2002), "Understanding Consumers Attitude Toward Advertising", Paper presented at *Eighth American Conference on Information Systems*.
- 33. Wolin, L. D., and Korgaonkar, P. (2003), "Web advertising: gender differences in beliefs, attitudes and behavior", *Internet Research: Electronic Networking Applications and Policy*, 13(5), pp. 375-385.
- 34. Yang, Chung Chuan (2000), "Taiwanese Students' Attitudes Towards and Belief about Advertising", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 6(3), September, pp. 171-183.
- 35. Yavas, Ugar (1997), "Dimensionality of Advertising Attitudes: Cross-national Insigts", Journal of Marketing Communications, 3(3), September, pp. 175-185.
- 36. Yoon, K., Muehling, D. D. and Cho, C. H. (1996), "A comparison of Korean and American attitudes towards advertising", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, 2(4), December, pp. 231-245.
- 37. Zhou, Dongsheng, Zhang, Weijiong, Vertinsky, Ilan (2002), "Advertising trends in Urban China", Journal of Advertising Research, 42(May/June), pp. 73-81.